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"from a national security perspective, other than a weapon of mass destruction or a bomb in one of our major cities the threat to our infrastructure, the threat to our intelligence, the threat to our computer network is the most critical threat we face."

Shawn Henry, Assistant Director of the FBI Cyber Division

NOTE: FUD is an English acronym for FEAR, UNCERTAINTY and DOUBT
"Last year was the first year that proceeds from cybercrime were greater than proceeds from the sale of illegal drugs."

Valerie McNiven, who advises the US Treasury on cybercrime
Famous story: frog in gradually heating water…eventually boils to death

Reality:

- The frog jumps out before the end but gets scalded as the water heats
- The frog actually notices the water warming as several separate hot moments before leaping out

It’s actually a continuum…

Source: http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Boiling_frog
Changing Threat Environment

- Critical point: this isn’t 4 things…it’s one continuum
- What’s changing is damage and the sophistication / speed of the opponent!
No one woke up one day and set out to build a massive, unmanageable technology that is always racing.

Who wins in this picture?

It’s all about decision loops:
- OODA
- Command-and-control

We have an intelligent opponent:
- They adapt and change
- They improve / we improve

Conclusion: It always winds up a content race.

Our challenge is to create an industry and approach that always breaks out of the content race.
### The Dark Side of the Cloud

#### Who’s the Big Dog of Cloud Offerings?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Provider</th>
<th>Systems</th>
<th>CPUs</th>
<th>Bandwidth</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rackspace</td>
<td>65,000</td>
<td>130,000</td>
<td>300Gbps</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amazon</td>
<td>160,000</td>
<td>320,000</td>
<td>400Gbps</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Google</td>
<td>500,000</td>
<td>1,000,000</td>
<td>1.5Tbps</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Confiker worm</td>
<td>6,400,000</td>
<td>18,000,000</td>
<td>28Tbps</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Cybercrime Dilemma

- Operation Aurora / Google-China incident…
  - In the days of CodeRed, the “private sector” used “public sector” developed tools “Hacked by Chinese”
  - Now…it’s the reverse: “public sector” using “private sector” developed tools

- We are dealing with intelligent, financial motivated opponents

- The main way to describe media and market attention is FUD

- A “War on Cybercrime” doesn’t make sense
  - A study of the behavior of online criminals does make sense
  - As with fighting any intelligent opponent, the goal must be…
    - To analyze
    - To act
    - To achieve measurable reductions in fraud
      - Make it expensive to do in systematic ways
      - Coordinate better and improve defenses
    - To adapt
    - To repeat the above

- Victory is not found in destroying the opponent, it is found in reducing him (or her)
The Reality

“You know, you can do this just as easily online.”
There is an Underground Economy...

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Asset</th>
<th>Going-rate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pay-out for each unique adware installation</td>
<td>30 cents in the United States, 20 cents in Canada, 10 cents in the UK, 2 cents elsewhere</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Malware package, basic version</td>
<td>$1,000 – $2,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Malware package with add-on services</td>
<td>Varying prices starting at $20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exploit kit rental – 1 hour</td>
<td>$0.99 to $1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exploit kit rental – 2.5 hours</td>
<td>$1.60 to $2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exploit kit rental – 5 hours</td>
<td>$4, may vary</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Undetected copy of a certain information-stealing Trojan</td>
<td>$80, may vary</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distributed Denial of Service attack</td>
<td>$100 per day</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10,000 compromised PCs</td>
<td>1,000 $</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stolen bank account credentials</td>
<td>Varying prices starting at $50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 million freshly-harvested emails (unverified)</td>
<td>$8 up, depending on quality</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Sample data from research on the underground digital economy in 2007
The “Law” of Malware Probability

- When you are dealing with an intelligent opponent and quantifiable gains (reward) and losses (risks), you can apply Game Theory.

- You can determine to some level of accuracy the relative probability of a set of attack types with respect to one another.

- You can use this information to implement stronger controls against a dynamic and increasingly hostile threat environment.

- You can use this outlook to examine the effects of world events and small changes in “State of the Art” or even the introduction of disruptive technologies.

\[
\text{Probability} \propto \frac{\text{Total Reward}}{\text{Total Risk}}
\]

Or...

\[
P_v \propto \frac{A_v}{D_v \times R_v}
\]
Target’s Attractiveness

- Attractiveness is related to several factors
  - Number of victims (unit-less)
    i.e. more victims is more attractive
  - Yield: effectiveness of cash out mechanism
  - Value per victim
    i.e. more money per victim is more attractive
  - Rate of infection among victims (this can be measured with a cash analog or as a weighting factor such as “0.3” for a low rate or “1.0” for a high rate)
    i.e. Cash is King – getting to the victim means getting to the case faster

- Maturity of cash out mechanism is an important factor – related to the criminal “networks” sophistication

Note: for mathematical simplicity, everything should be measured in a currency (e.g. $ € £ ¥ etc.) – this also has interesting implications on a geographic basis, especially with cost (q.v.)
• Attractiveness is related to several factors
  • Scarcity of Skill set
    i.e. Finding and hiring specialists is expensive
    – that’s bad!
  • Yield (effectiveness of Antivirus)
  • Time to execute matters – that costs
    i.e. Cash is King! Fast exploits to build mean $$$
  • Cost to “host” or execute (e.g. hardware)
    i.e. A legacy infrastructure or exploiting others’s resources is good!

• Over time cost always comes down!

• Breakthrough technologies, improvements in infrastructure (especially in the developing world) regional or global advances in programming, increases in a populations skill sets make a big difference, bringing down cost...

Note: for mathematical simplicity, everything should be measured in a currency (e.g. $ € £ ¥ etc.) – this also has interesting implications on a geographic basis, especially with cost (q.v.)
“Risk” to the Attacker

- Attractiveness is related to several factors
  - Penalty
    i.e. Severe penalties drive down the chance of any vector being used (compare physical robbery with online for instance)
  - Chance of being caught
    i.e. If penalties have a chance of being enforced, they are more effective

- This is where careful collaboration and international efforts can bear fruit

- Crime is fluid and will move to the “best reward for least risk” – meaning no measure will “solve” the attack problem…it will merely move it elsewhere

Note: for mathematical simplicity, everything should be measured in a currency (e.g. $ € £ ¥ etc.) – this also has interesting implications on a geographic basis, especially with cost (q.v.)
## Example of a Comparison

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Formula Factors</th>
<th>V</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>I</th>
<th>D</th>
<th>E</th>
<th>T</th>
<th>L</th>
<th>P</th>
<th>ρ</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Wireless Malware</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC Malware (Low)</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spam</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phishing</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mail Fraud</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Trojan Progression

- Local Pharming
- Keylogger
- Form Grabber
- HTML Injection
- MITB

Complexity
Employee personal time with corporate resources is $\frac{2}{3}$ of the day.
A Basic Trojan Attack

Username / Password Stolen

HTML Injection Trojan

SALE!

Limbo Trojan: Now only $350
1. A consumer gets infected with a Trojan, capable of MITB attacks
2. During online banking transaction the Trojan is triggered into action
3. The consumer passes login authentication stages
4. Trojan hijacks session
5. Trojan retrieves mule, triggers money transfer invisible to user
6. In some cases, using social engineering, user tricked to provide any 2-factor / transaction signing information needed
Fraud Supply Chain for MITB

Technical Infrastructure
- Infection
- Command & Control
- Mule DB

Operational Infrastructure
- Mule
- Drop

Cash Out Fraudster

User PC
- Trojan
- User Account on bank website

Infection
Hijacking
Mule injection
Cash Out
The Challenge with Employee Behaviors

- Bad things can occur outside of the usual suspects (porn, gambling, pharma, etc.)
  - NY Times
  - Minneapolis Star Tribune
  - paulmccartney.com
The Theft of Corporate Information

Corporate data can & is captured in Trojan log files

---

Timestamp: 26.08.20** 19:07:02

https://crm.emc.com/OA_HTML/AppsLocalLogin.jsp?requestUrl=APPSHOMEPAGE
username=KEYLOGGED:<EMC-ID> KEYSREAD:<EMC-ID>

https://crm.emc.com/OA_HTML/fndvald.jsp
Login
username=<EMC-ID>
password=xxxxxxx
langCode=US
requestUrl=APPSHOMEPAGE

https://crm.emc.com/OA_HTML/OA.jsp?page=/oracle/apps/hxc/selfservice/timecard/webui/TcActivitiesPG%26_ri=809%26retainAM=Y%26Action=Update%26Timecard_id=29$
Time Entry: <First Name>, <Last Name>, <EMC-ID>

---

RSA
The Security Division of EMC
The Infection of Corporate Resources

Infected resources identifiable by captured information

Trojan Family: Zeus (version 2)
MD5:
4b19e74a48b73345abf32f17fbd12a2e
https://www.google.com/accounts/ServiceLoginAuth?service=orkut
time_system: 7/10/2009 9:11 PM
ipv4: ***.33.49.251
country: US
The Infection of Corporate Resources

This is an infected machine located at a Fortune 100 company. The IP address is **33.49.251**, and it is located at 3039 Cornwallis Road, Research Triangle Park, NC 27709.
External Corruption of Internal Resources
Crimeware in the Enterprise - Infection

Microsoft Office Outlook Web Access
Provided by Microsoft Exchange Server 2003

The default settings of your mailbox were automatically changed. Please launch a file with a new set of settings for your e-mail account - settings-file.exe

Security
We constantly work to ensure your security and protect your data, such as:
- The new settings in Outlook
- The Bti, web-interface

Update for Microsoft Outlook / Outlook Web Access
Critical update

Brief Description
Microsoft has released an update for Microsoft Outlook / Outlook Web Access that fixes the highest levels of stability and security.

Quick Details
- File Name: office-KB910721-FullFile-ENU.exe
- Version: 1.4.0.0
- Language: English
- File Size: 83KB

System Requirements
- This update applies to the following products:

Contacts
© 2009 Microsoft Corporation. All rights reserved. Contact us | Terms of Use

Report: Attackers sent Google workers IMs from 'friends'

People behind the China-based online attacks of Google and other companies looked up key employees on social networks and contacted them pretending to be their friends to get them to click on links leading to malware, according to a published report on Monday.

"The most significant discovery is that the attackers had selected employees at the companies with access to proprietary data, then learnt who their friends were," the Financial Times reported. "The hackers compromised the social network accounts of those friends, hoping to enhance the probability that their final targets would click on the links they sent."

"We're seeing a lot more up-front reconnaissance, understanding who the players are at the company and how to reach them," George Kurtz, chief technology officer at security firm McAfee, told the Financial Times. "Someone went to the trouble to backtrace. Let me look at their friends, who I can target as a secondary person."

The attackers used a popular instant-messaging program to distribute the malware link to target employees, Kurtz said. The malware exploited a hole in Internet Explorer that Microsoft patched just last week.

Google also is looking into whether insiders in its China office played any role in the attacks, which have prompted the search giant to say it will stop censoring its results in China and may stop doing business there.
Advanced Persistent Threats (APTs)

- New generation of cyber threats
- Leverage a high degree of stealthiness over a prolonged duration
- Attack objectives typically extend beyond immediate financial gain
- Compromised systems continue to be of service even after key systems have been breached and initial goals reached

- Utilizes the full spectrum of computer intrusion technologies and techniques
- Combines multiple attack methodologies and tools in order to reach and compromise their target
- Requires a holistic view of environment to detect and defeat
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The perimeter is going away
  - We all know it and have heard it
  - We all sense there’s something right about this

It’s better to say that it’s shrinking

Ultimately, it’s about the data

Truth: you should be perimeter aware and information and transaction centric
So you want to commit a murder…

- Locard’s principle: there is always an exchange of physical evidence between the criminal and the scene (this is why we have CSI labs)

- You have two options
  - Clean up all traces (duck tape / spandex / etc.)
  - Spread around a lot of false trace

The Internet is seeing a huge amount of “noise”

- Background noise covers tracks
- Don’t focus on the attacks

Truth: Focus on the context of events and intelligence
Static v. Dynamic

- There is an intelligent opponent
- Therefore, if you build a wall, the opponent will:
  - Go around it
  - Go over it
  - Go under it
- The right way to deal with the situation is to build walls (don’t let anyone tell you that’s a bad idea)
- It’s a bad idea to rely on the wall as the primary means of defense

Truth: rely on dynamic, adapting technologies and seek architectural breakthroughs (whose boundaries you know)
There is nothing to be ashamed of in a content race
We still need updates
All breakthroughs will wind up in a race
However, systems that can learn how to run the race better are the best solution

Truth: focus on self-learning and greater intelligence in your breakthroughs instead of relying on the content updates
What Does the Risk Curve Look Like?

- Profit to bad guys is proportional to area
- Longer exposure = more profit

- Risk to you is proportional to area

(note some types of attacks are much worse and much longer in parts)
What Should Security Do in this Case?

- Your job is to reduce the “risk area”
- Start mitigating risk
- You still need AV/IDS/IPS/SIEM but your primary defense is something else

• Your job is to reduce the “risk area”
• Start mitigating risk
• You still need AV/IDS/IPS/SIEM but your primary defense is something else
Standards Security Controls Are Only Partially Effective
How Do You Change the Risk Curve?

- It starts here before there is an attack (i.e. Monitoring, Threat Intel, Advanced SecOps)
- And it continues here with advanced operations / processes and take downs
A Note on Offensive Strategies

- Offense is not a very effective strategy
  - Enemy is too distributed and difficult to identify
  - They leverage 'innocent bystander' resources
    * e.g. compromised hosts in botnet
  - Huge potential for collateral damage

- Limited offense is possible
  - Identify servers/sites and work with local LEOs to shut them down
  - Identify attackers and work with LEOs to arrest/convict
  - Still a reactive offense (offensive defense?)
  - Difficult to get inside your opponents decision cycle

- Strategic defense, tactical offense
Changing the Game
Self-Cleansing Intrusion Tolerance (SCIT)

- SCIT focuses on minimizing the exposure window of an attack
  - Shorter exposure = minimal potential damage
- Leverages virtualization technology to rotate servers to a known good state at regular intervals
  - Any infections are cleansed at each rotation
- Supports session persistence but does not migrate state
  - Applicable for web servers, DNS servers, SSO, database, etc.
- Started as a research project at George Mason University
  - Tested & deployed by Lockheed Martin, Northrop Grumman, Raytheon
SCIT in Action

Servers - Virtual - Physical

Offline servers; in self-cleansing

Online servers; potentially compromised
SCIT in Action

Servers
- Virtual
- Physical

Offline servers; in self-cleansing

Online servers; potentially compromised
Wrap-up

The basics
- There is nothing special about the malware with “APTs” – it’s about the people and economics
- The bad guys generally are out to make money – profit!
- The “greatest reaction mass” is in the private sector
- There is a “Consumerization of IT” wave coming (more risk!)

The bad guys will keep getting worse: we have an intelligent opponent!
- Expect a bleed v. butcher approach in malware
- Expect “benefits” to be introduced concurrent with malware
- Expect the Dark Cloud to continue to flourish

We can apply game theory to predict changes

Enterprises can improve security with some simple principles, but ultimately we have to coordinate internationally and attack the criminals (i.e. the people) to slow down and to limit the expansion of malware!

The Cloud…
- The “bad guys” have no blocks to using cloud computing!
- On the corporate side…
  - Expect SMBs to go to the Public Cloud first
  - Expect innovation to happen in Private / Hybrid Clouds
  - Expect large enterprises to reject the Public Cloud (require safety in the cloud)
Thank you!